

## THE EVOLUTION OF MIGRATING TWO-SEXES POPULATIONS

Vladas SKAKAUSKAS

Vilnius University, The Department of Differential Equations and Numerical Analysis  
2006 Vilnius, Naugarduko St. 24, Lithuania

**Abstract.** The equations describing the evolution of migrating populations composed of two-sexes are derived taking into account the size, age structure, panmictic mating of sexes, pregnancy of females, possible abortions as well as the females organism restoration periods after abortions and delivery. In partial case, which neglects females organism restoration period, the unique solvability of the model is proved and the condition for population to vanish is obtained.

**Key words:** panmictic mating, population evolution, gestation and restoration periods, migration.

**1. Introduction.** Using integral operator Svirezhev (1987) proposed the deterministic model of migrating in unbounded area  $R_2$  population without sexes and age structure. He also derived and widely used diffusion approximation of this model. Diffusion approximation of migration in biology as well as its analogue in chemist kinetics are widely known (see Svirezhev, 1987; Murray, 1983; Kolmogorov *et al.*, 1937; Fisher, 1937). Gurtin (1973) proposed another diffusion approximation of migrating population taking into account age of individuals. The model developed by Skakauskas (1994) includes: age and sex of individuals; pregnancy of females; possible destruction of the foetus (abortions); organism restoration periods after abortions and delivery; panmictic mating of sexes. In this paper we propose the model which in addition to Skakauskas (1994) includes the migration of individuals. In the case, where abortions and females restoration intervals are neglected, we prove the unique solvability of our model.

**2. Construction of the model.** Suppose that:

$$t, \tau_x, \tau_y \in I = (0, \infty), \bar{I} = [0, \infty), s = (s_1, s_2) \in R_2 = (-\infty, \infty) \times$$

$(-\infty, \infty)$ ,  $ds = ds_1 ds_2$ ,  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2) \in R_2$ ,  $d\xi = d\xi_1 d\xi_2$ ,  $d_s R_2 = [s_1, s_1 + ds_1] \times [s_2, s_2 + ds_2]$ ,  $d_\xi R_2 = [\xi_1, \xi_1 + d\xi_1] \times [\xi_2, \xi_2 + d\xi_2]$ , where  $t$  – time,  $\tau_x$  and  $\tau_y$  are ages of males and females, respectively,  $R_2$  is populated area.

$y(t, \tau_y, s) d\tau_y ds$  is the expectation at the moment  $t$  of the number of females of age  $\tau \in [\tau_y, \tau_y + d\tau_y]$  staying in the area  $d_s R_2$ ;

$x(t, \tau_x, s) d\tau_x ds$  is the expectation at the moment  $t$  of the number of single females of age  $\tau \in [\tau_x, \tau_x + d\tau_x]$  staying in the area  $d_s R_2$ ;

$z(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_z, s) d\tau_y d\tau_x d\tau_z ds$  is the expectation at the moment  $t$  of the number of fecundated females of age  $\tau \in [\tau_x, \tau_x + d\tau_x]$  staying in the area  $d_s R_2$  whose embryo's age is  $\tau \in [\tau_z, \tau_z + d\tau_z]$  which were fecundated by males of age  $\tau \in [\tau_y, \tau_y + d\tau_y]$ ;

$u(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_u, s) d\tau_y d\tau_x d\tau_u ds$  is the expectation at the moment  $t$  of the number of females of age  $\tau \in [\tau_x, \tau_x + d\tau_x]$  staying in the area  $d_s R_2$  for which time  $\tau \in [\tau_u, \tau_u + d\tau_u]$  after abortion has passed and which were fecundated by males of age  $\tau \in [\tau_y, \tau_y + d\tau_y]$ ;

$v(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_v, s) d\tau_y d\tau_x d\tau_v ds$  is the expectation at the moment  $t$  of the number of females of age  $\tau \in [\tau_x, \tau_x + d\tau_x]$  staying in the area  $d_s R_2$  for which time  $\tau \in [\tau_v, \tau_v + d\tau_v]$  after delivery has passed and which were fecundated by males of age  $\tau \in [\tau_y, \tau_y + d\tau_y]$ ;

$Q(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, s) d\tau_y d\tau_x ds$  is the expectation at the moment  $t$  of couples staying in the area  $d_s R_2$  which are formed of females of age  $\tau \in [\tau_x, \tau_x + d\tau_x]$  and males of age  $\tau \in [\tau_y, \tau_y + d\tau_y]$ ;

$P(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, s) dt$  is the probability to become pregnant in the time interval  $[t, t + dt]$  for a female from the male-female pair with characteristics  $(\tau_y, s)$ ,  $(\tau_x, s)$ ;

$\chi(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_z, s) dt$  is the probability that the abortion took place in the time interval  $[t, t + dt]$  for a female with characteristics  $(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_z, s)$ ;

$\nu^x(t, \tau_x, s) dt$ ,  $\nu^y(t, \tau_y, s) dt$ ,  $\nu^z(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_z, s) dt$ ,  $\nu^u(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_u, s) dt$ ,  $\nu^v(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_v, s) dt$  are the probabilities that individuals with respective characteristics  $(\tau_x, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_z, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_u, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_v, s)$  will die in time interval  $[t, t + dt]$ ;

$q^x(t, \tau_x, \xi, s) d\xi dt$ ,  $q^y(t, \tau_y, \xi, s) d\xi dt$ ,  $q^z(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_z, \xi, s) d\xi dt$ ,  $q^u(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_u, \xi, s) d\xi dt$ ,  $q^v(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_v, \xi, s) d\xi dt$  are the probabilities that individuals with respective characteristics  $(\tau_x, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_z, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_u, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_v, s)$  due to migration from position  $s \in R_2$  will get into area  $d_\xi R_2$  in the

time interval  $[t, t + dt]$ ;

$X(t, \tau_x, s)d\tau_x ds dt$  is a change due to conceiving, abortions and birth in the time interval  $[t, t + dt]$  of the expectation of the number of single females of age  $\tau \in [\tau_x, \tau_x + d\tau_x]$ ;

$m_x^+(t, \tau_x, s)d\tau_x ds dt$ ,  $m_y^+(t, \tau_y, s)d\tau_y ds dt$ ,  $m_z^+(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_z, s)d\tau_y d\tau_x d\tau_z ds dt$ ,  $m_u^+(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_u, s)d\tau_y d\tau_x d\tau_u ds dt$ ,  $m_v^+(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_v, s)d\tau_y d\tau_x d\tau_v ds dt$  are the expectations of the individuals with the respective characteristics  $(\tau_x, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_z, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_u, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_v, s)$  that due to migration will get into the area  $d_s R_2$  in the time interval  $[t, t + dt]$ ;

$m_x^-(t, \tau_x, s)d\tau_x ds dt$ ,  $m_y^-(t, \tau_y, s)d\tau_y ds dt$ ,  $m_z^-(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_z, s)d\tau_y d\tau_x d\tau_z ds dt$ ,  $m_u^-(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_u, s)d\tau_y d\tau_x d\tau_u ds dt$ ,  $m_v^-(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_v, s)d\tau_y d\tau_x d\tau_v ds dt$  are the expectations of the individuals with the respective characteristics  $(\tau_x, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_z, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_u, s)$ ,  $(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_v, s)$  that due to migration will leave the area  $d_s R_2$  in the time interval  $[t, t + dt]$ ;

$b^x(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, s)$ ,  $b^y(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, s)$  are numbers of female and male offsprings born at the moment  $t$  from a female with the characteristics  $(\tau_y, \tau_x, s)$ ;

$2^{-1/2}D^y y$ ,  $2^{-1/2}D^x x$ ,  $3^{-1/2}D^z z$ ,  $3^{-1/2}D^u u$ ,  $3^{-1/2}D^v v$  represent the directional derivatives along the positive direction of the characteristics of the operators  $L^y = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_y}$ ,  $L^x = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_x}$ ,  $L^z = L^x + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_z}$ ,  $L^u = L^x + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_u}$ ,  $L^v = L^x + \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_v}$ , respectively;

$\sigma_{xz}(\tau_z) = (\tau_{1x} + \tau_z, \tau_{2x} + \tau_z)$ ,  $\sigma_{xv}(\tau_v) = (\tilde{\tau}_{1x} + \tau_v, \tilde{\tau}_{2x} + \tau_v)$ ,  $\sigma_{xu}(\tau_u) = (\tau_{1x} + \tau_u, \tilde{\tau}_{2x} + \tau_u)$ , where  $\tilde{\tau}_{kx} = \tau_{kx} + \kappa_z$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ ;  $\sigma_y = (\tau_{1y}, \tau_{2y})$  and  $\sigma_{xz}(0)$  are reproductive intervals for males and females, respectively;

$\sigma_z = (0, \kappa_z)$ ,  $\sigma_u = (0, \kappa_u)$ ,  $\sigma_v = (0, \kappa_v)$  are gestation and restoration intervals after the abortion and the delivery, respectively;

$$\sigma = \sigma_y \times \sigma_{xz}(\kappa_z), E^y = \{(t, \tau_y, s) \in I \times I \times R_2\};$$

$$E^x = \{(t, \tau_x, s) \in I \times (I \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^6 \tau_i) \times R_2, \tau_k = \tau_{kx}, \tau_{k+2} = \tilde{\tau}_{kx} + \kappa_v, k = 1, 2, \tau_5 = \tau_{1x} + \kappa_u, \tau_6 = \tilde{\tau}_{2x} + \kappa_u\};$$

$$E^z = \{(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_z, s) \in I \times \sigma_y \times \sigma_{xz}(\tau_z) \times \sigma_z \times R_2\};$$

$$E^u = \{(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_u, s) \in I \times \sigma_y \times \sigma_{xu}(\tau_u) \times \sigma_u \times R_2\};$$

$$E^v = \{(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_v, s) \in I \times \sigma_y \times \sigma_{xv}(\tau_v) \times \sigma_v \times R_2\};$$

$$[x(t, \tau_i, s)] \text{ is a jump of the function } x \text{ at the plane } \tau_x = \tau_i;$$

$$\omega(\tau_x) = \begin{cases} [0, \tau_x - \tau_{1x}], & \tau_x \in (\tau_{1x}, \tilde{\tau}_{1x}], \\ [0, \kappa_z], & \tau_x \in (\tilde{\tau}_{1x}, \tau_{2x}], \\ [\tau_x - \tau_{2x}, \kappa_z], & \tau_x \in (\tau_{2x}, \tilde{\tau}_{2x}], \end{cases}$$

for  $\tau_{2x} - \tau_{1x} \geq \kappa_z$  and

$$\omega(\tau_x) = \begin{cases} [0, \tau_x - \tau_{1x}], & \tau_x \in (\tau_{1x}, \tau_{2x}], \\ [\tau_x - \tau_{2x}, \tau_x - \tau_{1x}], & \tau_x \in (\tau_{2x}, \tilde{\tau}_{1x}], \\ [\tau_x - \tau_{2x}, \kappa_z], & \tau_x \in (\tilde{\tau}_{1x}, \tilde{\tau}_{2x}], \end{cases}$$

for  $\tau_{2x} - \tau_{1x} < \kappa_z$ .

Upon using the balance relation, we obtain the system

$$D^y y = -y\nu^y + m_y^+ - m_y^- \quad \text{in } E^y, \quad (1)$$

$$D^x x = -x\nu^x + X + m_x^+ - m_x^- \quad \text{in } E^x, \quad (2)$$

$$D^z z = -z(\nu^z + \chi) + m_z^+ - m_z^- \quad \text{in } E^z, \quad (3)$$

$$D^u u = -u\nu^u + m_u^+ - m_u^- \quad \text{in } E^u, \quad (4)$$

$$D^v v = -v\nu^v + m_v^+ - m_v^- \quad \text{in } E^v, \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} X = & - \begin{cases} 0, & \tau_x \notin \sigma_{xz}(0), \\ \int_{\sigma_y} z(\cdot, 0, s) d\tau_y, & \tau_x \in \sigma_{xz}(0), \end{cases} \\ & + \begin{cases} 0, & \tau_x \notin \sigma_{xu}(\kappa_u), \\ \int_{\sigma_y} u(\cdot, \kappa_u, s) d\tau_y, & \tau_x \in \sigma_{xu}(\kappa_u), \end{cases} \\ & + \begin{cases} 0, & \tau_x \notin \sigma_{xv}(\kappa_v), \\ \int_{\sigma_y} v(\cdot, \kappa_v, s) d\tau_y, & \tau_x \in \sigma_{xv}(\kappa_v), \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$m_\alpha^- = \alpha \int_{R_2} q^\alpha(\cdot, \xi, s) d\xi, \quad (7)$$

$$m_\alpha^+ = \int_{R_2} q^\alpha(\cdot, s, \xi) \alpha(\cdot, \xi) d\xi, \quad \alpha = y, x, z, u, v$$

subject to conditions

$$\alpha(\cdot, 0, s) = \int_{\sigma} b^{\alpha} z(\cdot, \kappa_z, s) d\tau_y d\tau_x, \quad \alpha = y, x, \quad (8)$$

$$z(\cdot, 0, s) = QP, \quad (9)$$

$$v(\cdot, 0, s) = z(\cdot, \kappa_z, s), \quad (10)$$

$$u(\cdot, 0, s) = \int_{\omega(\tau_x)} \chi z d\tau_z, \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} x(0, \cdot) &= x^0(\tau_x, s), & y(0, \cdot) &= y^0(\tau_y, s), & z(0, \cdot) &= z^0(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_z, s), \\ u(0, \cdot) &= u^0(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_u, s), & v(0, \cdot) &= v^0(\tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_v, s), \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

$$[x(\cdot, \tau_x, s)] = 0,$$

$$\tau_x = \tau_{1x}, \tau_{2x}, \tau_{1x} + \kappa_u, \tilde{\tau}_{2x} + \kappa_u, \tilde{\tau}_{1x} + \kappa_v, \tilde{\tau}_{2x} + \kappa_v, \quad (13)$$

which governs the evolution of the population. If in (7) and (8) the letter  $\alpha$  is not an index, then it denotes the respective function. The point-argument of functions in the (6)–(13) denotes respective obvious arguments. We suppose that the non-negative demographic functions  $\nu^x, \nu^y, \nu^z, \nu^u, \nu^v, Q, P, b^x, b^y, q^x, q^y, q^z, q^u, q^v$  and non-negative initial functions  $x^0, y^0, z^0, u^0, v^0$  as well as bounded reproductive intervals are given. In the case of limited population demographic functions depend on densities  $x, y, z, u, v$ . It is also assumed, that initial functions  $x^0, y^0, z^0, u^0, v^0$  satisfy reconcilable conditions, i.e., conditions (8)–(11), (13) for  $t = 0$ . In the case of the panmictic mating (see Svirezhev and Pasekov, 1982) the function  $Q = xyn_y^{-1}$ ,  $n_y = \int_{\sigma_y} y(t, \tau_y, s) d\tau_y$ . Functions  $x, y, z, u$  and  $v$  define the solution of the problem (1)–(13).

**3. Solvability of the model.** We consider the particular case of unlimited population, when the abortions and the restoration periods are neglected. Then from (1)–(13) we obtain the following system

$$D^y y = -y d^y + m_y^+ \quad \text{in } E^y, \quad (14)$$

$$D^x x = -x d^x + m_x^+ + X_b \quad \text{in } E^x, \quad (15)$$

$$D^z z = -z d^z + m_z^+ \quad \text{in } E^z, \quad (16)$$

$$X_b = \begin{cases} 0, & \tau_x \notin \sigma_{xz}(\kappa_z), \\ \int_{\sigma_y} z(\cdot, \kappa_z, s) d\tau_y, & \tau_x \in \sigma_{xz}(\kappa_z), \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

$$d^\alpha = \nu^\alpha + \int_{R_2} q^\alpha(\cdot, \xi, s) d\xi, \quad \alpha = y, z,$$

$$d^x = \nu^x + \int_{R_2} q^x(\cdot, \xi, s) d\xi + \begin{cases} 0, & \tau_x \notin \sigma_{xz}(0), \\ n_y^{-1} \int_{\sigma_y} y P d\tau_y, & n_y = \int_{\sigma_y} y d\tau_y, \quad \tau_x \in \sigma_{xz}(0), \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

$$m_\alpha^+ = \int_{R_2} q^\alpha(\cdot, s, \xi) \alpha(\cdot, \xi) d\xi, \quad \alpha = x, y, z \quad (19)$$

subject to conditions

$$\alpha(\cdot, 0, s) = \int_{\sigma} b^\alpha z(\cdot, \kappa_z, s) d\tau_y d\tau_x, \quad \alpha = y, x, \quad (20)$$

$$z(\cdot, 0, s) = xy P n_y^{-1}, \quad (21)$$

$$[x(\cdot, \tau_x, s)] = 0, \quad \tau_x = \tau_{1x}, \tau_{2x}, \tilde{\tau}_{1x}, \tilde{\tau}_{2x}, \quad (22)$$

$$x(0, \cdot) = x^0, \quad y(0, \cdot) = y^0, \quad z(0, \cdot) = z^0; \quad (23)$$

here  $\tilde{\tau}_{kx} = \tau_{kx} + \kappa_z$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ ; non-negative functions  $x^0, y^0, z^0, b^x, b^y, P, \nu^x, \nu^y, \nu^z, q^x, q^y, q^z$  are given as the non-negative functions  $x, y, z$  should be found. If in the (19) and (20) the letter  $\alpha$  is not index, then it denotes the respective function. The functions  $x^0, y^0, z^0$  should satisfy the conditions (20) – (22) for  $t = 0$ . Sets  $(t, \tau_y, s)$ ,  $(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, \tau_z, s)$  and  $(t, \tau_x, s)$  are the arguments of the functions  $d^y, d^z$  and  $d^x$ , respectively. The following theorem is valid.

**Theorem.** Let  $b^x, b^y$  be non-negative continuous functions in  $t$  as well as bounded and piecewise continuous functions in  $\tau = (\tau_y, \tau_x)$  and let

$x^0, y^0, z^0, P, \nu^x, \nu^y, \nu^z, q^x, q^y, q^z$  be non-negative continuous functions, such that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 1) \quad & n_0 = \int_{\sigma_y} y^0 d\tau_y, \quad \sup P = P^*, \quad \max_{\alpha=x,y} \sup \alpha^0 = a, \\
 & \inf \left( \nu^\alpha + \int_{R_2} q^\alpha(\cdot, \xi, s) d\xi \right) = d_*^\alpha, \\
 & \int_{R_2} q^\alpha(\cdot, s, \xi) d\xi \leq \beta^\alpha < d_*^\alpha, \quad \alpha = x, y, z, \\
 & \max_{\alpha=x,y} \int_{\sigma_z(\kappa_z)} \sup_{t, \tau_y, s} b^\alpha d\tau_x = B^*, \\
 & \int_{\sigma_y} \sup_{\tau_x, \tau_z, s} z^0 d\tau_y = aq(B^*)^{-1}, \\
 & P^* B^* \exp \{-\kappa_z (d_*^z - \beta^z)\} \leq q \leq \min(1, B^* (d_*^x - \beta^x));
 \end{aligned}$$

here  $n^0, P^*, a, d_*^y, d_*^z, d_*^x, B^*, \beta^x, \beta^y, \beta^z$  and  $\sup d^y$  are positive bounded constants; operators  $\sup$  and  $\inf$ , if they are not specified, are taken on the entire domain of definition;

2)  $\kappa_z < \tau_{1x}$ ,  $\tau_{2x} - \tau_{1x} > \kappa_z$ , and integrals

$$\int_{R_2} q^\alpha(\cdot, \xi, s) d\xi, \quad \int_{R_2} q^\alpha(\cdot, s, \xi) d\xi, \quad \alpha = x, y, z$$

converge uniformly.

Then problem (14)–(23) has unique positive continuous solution, such that  $D^y y$ ,  $D^z z$ ,  $D^x x$  are continuous functions and following estimates are valid:

$$y \leq \begin{cases} a \exp \{-t (d_*^y - \beta^y)\}, & 0 \leq t \leq \tau_y < \infty, \\ aq^{k+1} \exp \{-\tau_y (d_*^y - \beta^y)\}, & k\tau_y < t - \tau_y \leq (k+1)\tau_y, \\ & \tau_y \in (0, \infty), \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

$$x \leq \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
a \exp \{-t (d_*^x - \beta^x)\}, & 0 \leq t \leq \tau_x, \\
& \tau_x \in (0, \tau_1], \\
aq^{k+1} \exp \{-\tau_x (d_*^x - \beta^x)\}, & k\tau_4 < t - \tau_x \leq (k+1)\tau_4, \\
& \tau_x \in (0, \tau_1], \\
a \exp \{-t (d_*^x - \beta^x)\}, & 0 \leq t \leq \tau_x - \tau_1, \\
& \tau_x \in (\tau_1, \tau_2], \\
a \exp \{-(\tau_x - \tau_1) (d_*^x - \beta^x)\}, & \tau_x - \tau_1 < t \leq \tau_x, \\
& \tau_x \in (\tau_1, \tau_2], \\
a, & 0 \leq t \leq \tau_x, \\
& \tau_x \in (\tau_2, \tau_4], \\
a \exp \{-t (d_*^x - \beta^x)\}, & 0 \leq t \leq \tau_x - \tau_4, \\
& \tau_x \in (\tau_4, \infty), \\
aq^{k+1} \exp \{-(\tau_x - \tau_1) (d_*^x - \beta^x)\}, & k\tau_4 < t - \tau_x \leq (k+1)\tau_4, \\
& \tau_x \in (\tau_1, \tau_2], \\
aq^{k+1}, & k\tau_4 < t - \tau_x \leq (k+1)\tau_4, \\
& \tau_x \in (\tau_2, \tau_4], \\
aq^{k+1} \exp \{-(\tau_x - \tau_4) (d_*^x - \beta^x)\}, & (k-1)\tau_y < t - \tau_x \leq k\tau_y, \\
& \tau_x \in [\tau_4, \infty);
\end{array} \right. \quad (25)$$

here  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

*Proof.* Let's define:

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{x}(t, s) &= x(t, 0, s), \\
\bar{y}(t, s) &= y(t, 0, s), \\
\bar{z}(t, \tau_y, \tau_x, s) &= z(\cdot, 0, s),
\end{aligned} \quad (26a - c)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1(\gamma) &= \gamma(r_0^\gamma) \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t d^\gamma(r_\eta^\gamma) d\eta \right\} \\
&+ \int_0^t \exp \left\{ - \int_\alpha^t d^\gamma(r_\eta^\gamma) d\eta \right\} \{ m_\gamma^+(r_\alpha^\gamma) + X_b(r_\alpha^\gamma) \} d\alpha, \quad (26d)
\end{aligned}$$



$$F_2(\gamma, \mu) = \gamma(h_\mu^\gamma) \exp \left\{ - \int_\mu^{\tau_\gamma} d^\gamma(h_\eta^\gamma) d\eta \right\} \\ + \int_\mu^{\tau_\gamma} \exp \left\{ - \int_\alpha^{\tau_\gamma} d^\gamma(h_\eta^\gamma) d\eta \right\} (m_\gamma^+(h_\alpha^\gamma) + X_b(h_\alpha^\gamma)) d\alpha, \quad (26e)$$

where  $X_b(r_\alpha^\gamma) = 0$ ,  $X_b(h_\alpha^\gamma) = 0$  for  $\gamma = y, z$ . If in (26a-e) the letter  $\gamma$  is not index, then it denote the respective function. From (14)–(16), (22), (23) and (26a-e) we obtain the formal integral representation of the functions  $z, y, x$ :

$$z = F_1(z), \quad z(r_0^z) = z^0(\tau_y, \tau_x - t, \tau_z - t, s), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau_z, \quad (27)$$

$$z = F_2(z, 0), \quad z(h_0^z) = \bar{z}(t - \tau_z, \tau_y, \tau_x - \tau_z, s), \quad 0 \leq \tau_z < t, \quad (28)$$

$$y = F_1(y), \quad y(r_0^y) = y^0(\tau_y - t, s), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau_y, \quad (29)$$

$$y = F_2(y, 0), \quad y(h_0^y) = \bar{y}(t - \tau_y, s), \quad 0 \leq \tau_y < t, \quad (30)$$

$$x = F_1(x), \quad x(r_0) = x^0(\tau_x - t, s), \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau_x - \tau_i, \quad (31)$$

$$\tau_x \in (\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}],$$

$$x = F_2(x, \tau_i), \quad t > \tau_x - \tau_i, \quad \tau_x \in (\tau_i, \tau_{i+1}]; \quad (32)$$

here:  $i = \overline{0, 4}$ ,  $\tau_0 = 0$ ,  $\tau_1 = \tau_{1x}$ ,  $\tau_2 = \tilde{\tau}_{1x}$ ,  $\tau_3 = \tau_{2x}$ ,  $\tau_4 = \tilde{\tau}_{2x}$ ,  $\tau_5 = \infty$ ;  $r_\eta^z = (\eta, \tau_y, \eta + \tau_x - t, \eta + \tau_z - t, s)$ ,  $h_\eta^z = (\eta + t - \tau_z, \tau_y, \eta + \tau_x - \tau_z, \eta, s)$ ,  $r_\eta^y = (\eta, \eta + \tau_y - t, s)$ ,  $h_\eta^y = (\eta + t - \tau_y, \eta, s)$ ,  $r_\eta^x = (\eta, \eta + \tau_x - t, s)$  and  $h_\eta^x = (\eta + t - \tau_x, \eta, s)$  are sets of arguments written in brackets. By adding to (26)–(32) the formulas (17)–(21), we obtain a system of integral equations. We shall prove the unique solvability of this system in the space of non-negative continuous functions.

Conditions of our theorem allow us to solve (19), (26d) and (27) by method of iterations and to get the estimate

$$z \leq \exp \{-t(d_*^z - \beta^z)\} \sup_{\tau_x, \tau_z, s} z^0, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau_z \leq \kappa_z. \quad (33)$$

Therefore

$$\int_{\sigma_y} z d\tau_y \leq \int_{\sigma_y} \sup_{\tau_y, \tau_x, s} z^0 d\tau_y = aq(B^*)^{-1}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau_z \leq \kappa_z. \quad (34)$$

By using condition (20) and inequalities (33), (34) we obtain the estimate

$$\max(\sup \bar{x}, \sup \bar{y}) \leq aq, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \kappa_z. \quad (35)$$

Acting in the same way we prove the existence of unique non-negative continuous solution of (29) and obtain the estimate

$$y \leq a \exp \{-t(d_*^y - \beta^y)\}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \tau_y. \quad (36)$$

Now we consider (28), (30)–(32) using step by step method with respect to the argument  $t$ .

Let  $t \in (0, \kappa_z]$ . Taking into account the estimate (35), as in the case of (27), we prove unique solvability of (30) and (31), (32) for  $\tau_x \in (0, \tau_1] \cup (\tau_1, \tau_2]$  and obtain the inequalities

$$y \leq aq \exp \{-\tau_y(d_*^y - \beta^y)\}, \quad 0 \leq \tau_y \leq t, \quad (37)$$

$$x \leq a \begin{cases} \exp \{-t(d_*^x - \beta^x)\}, & 0 \leq t \leq \tau_x, \\ \exp \{-\tau_x(d_*^x - \beta^x)\}, & 0 \leq \tau_x < t, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } \tau_x \in (0, \tau_1], \quad (38)$$

$$x \leq a \begin{cases} \exp \{-t(d_*^x - \beta^x)\}, & 0 \leq t \leq \tau_x - \tau_1, \\ \exp \{-(\tau_x - \tau_1)(d_*^x - \beta^x)\}, & t > \tau_x - \tau_1, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } \tau_x \in (\tau_1, \tau_2]. \quad (39)$$

Let  $\tau_x \in (\tau_2, \tau_3] \cup (\tau_3, \tau_4]$ . Assume that  $Kx$  is the right-hand side of (31) and (32). Suppose that  $A$  is the class of non-negative continuous and bounded by constant  $a$  functions  $g(t, \tau_x, s)$  with the norm  $\|g\| = \sup |g|$ . By using the conditions of our theorem we prove that the operator  $K$  acts in the class  $A$  and is contractive. Hence (31) and (32) have unique solutions in the class  $A$  and

$$x \leq a, \quad \tau_x \in (\tau_2, \tau_3] \cup (\tau_3, \tau_4]. \quad (40)$$

Let  $\tau_x \in (\tau_4, \infty)$ . Using inequalities  $x(\tau_4 + \tau_x - t, \tau_4, s) \leq a$ ,  $x^0 \leq a$  and acting as in the case  $\tau_x \in (0, \tau_1]$  we prove the unique solvability of (31) and (32) in the space of non-negative continuous functions and obtain the estimate

$$x \leq a \begin{cases} \exp \{-t(d_*^x - \beta^x)\}, & 0 \leq t \leq \tau_x - \tau_4, \\ \exp \{-(\tau_x - \tau_4)(d_*^x - \beta^x)\}, & t > \tau_x - \tau_4. \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

Knowing the functions  $y$  and  $x$  for  $t \in (0, \kappa_z]$ , we consider (28) for  $t \in (\tau_z, \tau_z + \kappa_z]$ . Acting in the same way as in the case of (27) we prove the unique solvability of (28) and obtain the estimate

$$z \leq P^* \exp \{-\tau_z(d_*^z - \beta^z)\} \sup_{t,s} y n_y^{-1} \sup_{t,\tau_x,s} x. \quad (42)$$

From (28) we derive the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(t, \tau_x, \tau_z, s) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\sigma_y} z d\tau_y &\leq P^* \exp \{-\tau_z d_*^z\} \sup_{t,\tau_x,s} x \\ &+ \beta^z \int_0^{\tau_z} \exp \{-\tau_z - \alpha\} d_*^z \sup_{t,\tau_x,s} \rho d\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

which allows us to obtain the estimate

$$\int_{\sigma_y} z d\tau_y \leq \sup_{t,\tau_x,s} \rho \leq P^* \exp \{-\tau_z(d_x^z - \beta^z)\} \sup_{t,\tau_x,s} x \leq aq(B^*)^{-1}, \quad (43)$$

for  $t \in (\tau_z, \tau_z + \kappa_z]$ .

Let  $t \in (\kappa_z, 2\kappa_z]$ . Using inequality (43) for  $\tau_z = \kappa_z$  from (20) we get

$$\max(\sup \bar{x}, \sup \bar{y}) \leq aq. \quad (44)$$

The estimate (43) for  $\tau_z = \kappa_z$  and inequality (44) are the estimates (34) and (35) but for interval  $(\kappa_z, 2\kappa_z]$ .

Using the same method as for  $t \in (0, \kappa_z]$  we can prove the unique solvability of (28), (30)–(32). The estimates (37), (38), (40), (41) and (42) are valid for  $t \in (\kappa_z, 2\kappa_z]$ , as the estimate (39) should be replaced by

$$x \leq a \exp \{-(\tau_x - \tau_1)(d_*^x - \beta^x)\} \begin{cases} 1, & t \leq \tau_x \\ q, & t > \tau_x \end{cases}, \quad (45)$$

for  $\tau_x \in (\tau_1, \tau_2]$ .

Continuing our argumentation we prove the unique solvability of (28), (30)–(32) and that the estimates (37), (38), (40), (41) and (45) remain valid for  $t \leq \tau_2$ .

Let  $t \in (\tau_2, \tau_4]$ . Acting as above we can prove the solvability of (28), (30)–(32) and that the estimates (37), (38)<sub>2</sub>, (41), (45)<sub>2</sub> remain valid. Using inequality

$$\int_{\sigma_y} z(\cdot, \kappa_z, s) d\tau_y \leq aqB^{*-1} \begin{cases} 1, & t \leq \tau_x, \\ q, & \tau_x < t, \end{cases} \quad (46)$$

and acting in the same way as in the case of derivation of inequality (40) we obtain the estimate

$$x \leq a \begin{cases} 1, & t \leq \tau_x, \\ q, & \tau_x < t. \end{cases} \quad (47)$$

Therefore the estimate (40) remains valid for  $t \in (\tau_2, \tau_4]$ .

Let  $t \in (\tau_4, 2\tau_4]$ . Acting as above we can prove the unique solvability of (28), (30)–(32) and obtain the estimates

$$\int_{\sigma_y} z(\cdot, \kappa_z, s) d\tau_y \leq aq^2(B^*)^{-1} \quad \text{for } \tau_x \in (\tau_2, \tau_4],$$

$$\max(\sup \bar{x}, \sup \bar{y}) \leq aq^2.$$

Proceeding our argumentations we prove the unique solvability of the problem (14)–(23), obtain the estimates (24), (25) and the inequalities

$$\max(\sup \bar{x}, \sup \bar{y}) \leq aq^{k+1},$$

$$k\tau_4 < t \leq (k+1)\tau_4, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (48)$$

The estimate (48) completes the proof of our theorem. From this estimate we conclude that the population vanishes if  $q < 1$ .

**Note.** We considered the case  $\kappa_z < \tau_{1x}$ ,  $\tau_{2x} - \tau_{1x} > \kappa_z$ . The other cases can be considered by the same method.

#### REFERENCES

- Fisher, R.A. (1937). The wave of advance of advantageous genes. *Ann. Eugenics*, **7**, 355–369
- Gurtin, M.E. (1973). A system of equations for age-dependent population diffusion. *J. Theor. Biol.*, **40**, 389–392.

- Kolmogorov, A.N., I.G. Petrovskij and N.S. Piskunov (1937). The investigation of the diffusion equation in connection with the material quantity increasing and its application to one problem of biology. *Bull. MGU, Ser. A*, **6**, 1–26 (in Russian).
- Murray, J.D. (1983). *Lectures on Nonlinear-Differential-Equations. Models in Biology*. Mir, Moscow. 397 pp. (in Russian).
- Skakauskas, V. (1994). An evolution model of an autosomal polylocal polyallelic diploid population taking into account crossing-over and gestation period. *Lithuanian Math. Journal*, **34**(3), 288–299.
- Svirezhev, Ju.M. (1987). *Nonlinear Waves, Dissipative Structures and Catastrophes in Ecology*. Nauka, Moscow. 366 pp. (in Russian).
- Svirezhev, Ju.M., and V.P. Pasekov (1982). *Foundations of Mathematical Genetics*. Nauka, Moscow. 511 pp. (in Russian).

Received January 1996

**V. Skakauskas** has graduated from the Leningrad University (Faculty of Mathematics and Mechanics) in 1965. He received Ph. D. degree from the Leningrad University in 1971. He is an Associated Professor and head of the Department of Differential Equations and Numerical Analysis at Vilnius University. His research interests include modelling in fluids and gas mechanics, physics, ecology and genetics.

## MIGRUOJANČIŲ DVILYČIŲ POPULIACIJŲ EVOLIUCIJA

Vladas SKAKAUSKAS

Gautos lygtys, aprašančios dvilytės migruojančios populiacijos evoliuciją, įskaitant individų amžių, patelių nėštumą, galimą vaisiaus žuvimą ir organizmo rehabilitaciją po gimdymo bei vaisiaus žuvimo. Kai nepaisoma vaisiaus žuvimo ir rehabilitacijos intervalų, įrodytas vienintelis modelio išsprendžiamumas.